

# LINEAR MATROID INTERSECTION

IS IN QUASI-NC

By Rohit Gurjar

&

Thomas Thierauf

[STOC-2018]

# Definitions

A Matroid is a pair  $(E, \mathcal{I})$

where  $E = [m]$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$   
(ground set)

$$\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$$

(Independent sets)



# Definitions

A Matroid is a pair  $(E, \mathcal{I})$

where  $E = [m]$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$   
(ground set)

$$\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$$

(Independent sets)

☑

- $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, \forall S \subseteq I,$   
 $S \in \mathcal{I}$

(closure under subsets)

# Definitions

A Matroid is a pair  $(E, \mathcal{I})$

where  $E = [m]$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$   
(ground set)

$$\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$$

(Independent sets)

☑

- $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, \forall S \subseteq I,$   
 $S \in \mathcal{I}$

(closure under subsets)

- $\forall I, J \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $|I| < |J|$

$$\exists s \in J - I \text{ s.t. } I \cup \{s\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

(Augmentation)

# Definitions

For a Matroid  $M := (E, \mathcal{I})$

- For  $S \subseteq E$ , rank of  $S$  is the maximal independent subset of  $S$   
☑ (rank function is submodular)
- Maximum Ind. sets in  $\mathcal{I}$  are called Bases of  $M$
- $\mathcal{B}$  will be set of all bases of  $M$

# Definitions

$M$  is a linear Matroid if

$\exists$  a matrix  $G_M$  s.t.  $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}$

The rows in  $G_M$  index  $I$  are L.I.



# Problem Statement

Given  $M_1 := (E, \mathcal{L}_1)$  &  $M_2 := (E, \mathcal{L}_2)$   
two linear matroids, decide whether

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = \emptyset$$

# Problem Statement

Given  $M_1 := (E, \mathcal{L}_1)$  &  $M_2 := (E, \mathcal{L}_2)$   
two linear matroids, decide whether

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = \emptyset$$

$\wedge_{NC}$

Given  $M_1 := (E, \mathcal{L}_1)$  &  $M_2 := (E, \mathcal{L}_2)$   
two linear matroids of rank  $n$

decide whether  $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = \emptyset$



# Problem Statement

Given  $M_1 := (E, \mathcal{L}_1)$  &  $M_2 := (E, \mathcal{L}_2)$

two linear matroids, decide whether

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = \emptyset$$

$\wedge_{NC}$

Given  $M_1 := (E, \mathcal{L}_1)$  &  $M_2 := (E, \mathcal{L}_2)$

two linear matroids of rank  $n$

decide whether  $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 = \emptyset$

$\wedge_{NC}$

Given  $M_1 := (E, \mathcal{B}_1)$  &  $M_2 := (E, \mathcal{B}_2)$

two linear matroids of rank  $n$

decide whether  $\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$

# Motivation

## Edmonds' Problem

Given a Matrix polynomial

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n$$

where  $A_i \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$

decide whether  $\det(A(\bar{x})) = 0$

# Motivation

## Edmonds' Problem

Given a Matrix polynomial

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n$$

where  $A_i \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$

decide whether  $\det(A(\bar{x})) = 0$

— Solving this problem black-box will give Super-polynomial Lower Bound  
(Hence hard to prove 😊)

# Motivation

## Restricted Cases

- When  $A_i$ 's are rank 1 symbolic matrices  
≡ Solving Bipartite Perfect Matching  
(Quasi-NC, [Fenner-Gurjar-Thierauf '16])
- When  $A_i$ 's are rank 1 matrices  
≡ Solving Linear Matroid Intersection  
(Quasi-NC, [Gurjar-Thierauf '18])
- When  $A_i$ 's are rank-2 Skew-Sym. matrices  
≡ Solving Linear Matroid Matching  
(white-box polytime [Lovász et. al])
- White box - polytime when  $X_i$ 's are Non-con.
- Deterministic approx. algo for general 'search' problem.

# Reduction

$$(\text{Edm} \leq \text{LMI})$$

Given  $\sum A_i x_i$

let  $A_i = a_i \otimes b_i$

Then take  $M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}_{d \times n}$   
 $M_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}_{d \times n}$

Find the intersection of the matroids for  $M_1$  &  $M_2$

# Reduction

Edm  $\geq$  LMI)

Given  $M_1$  &  $M_2$  Linear Matroids  
of same rank (say  $n$ )

let  $A_i$  be the matrix corresponds  
to  $M_i$

Find det. of  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (A_1)_i \otimes (A_2)_i$

$((A_i)_i \rightarrow j^{\text{th}} \text{ row of } A_i)$

# Reduction

Proof:

$$\det \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (A_1)_i \oplus (A_2)_i \right)$$

$$= \det \left( A_1, I_{n \times n}^\lambda, A_2^T \right) \left[ \begin{array}{l} I_{n \times n}^\lambda \rightarrow \text{diag. mat.} \\ \text{with entries } \lambda_i \\ \text{at the diag.} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq E \\ |B|=n}} \overline{\lambda}^B \underbrace{\left[ \begin{array}{c} [A_1] \\ [A_2]^T \end{array} \right]}_B$$

Non-zero

When  $B$  is a Common  
- Base

# Reduction

$$(BPM \leq LMI)$$

Given  $G := (V_R \cup V_L, E)$  Bipartite  
graph  $(|E| = m)$

Define the matroid

$$M_L := (E, \mathcal{I}_L)$$

where  $E \supseteq I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_L \Leftrightarrow |I \cap B_v| \leq 1$

$(B_v \subseteq E, \text{ set of edges } \overset{\forall v \in V_L}{\text{incident at } v})$

Similarly define  $M_R$

Find  $M_L \cap M_R$



# Reduction

Other problems can be solved  
from LMI :-

---

- Matroid Union
- Max. Rank Matrix Completion
- Rain-bow spanning spanning tree in edge-coloured graph
- Shortest R-S biconnector and a longest R-S biconnector of a graph

⋮

# Reduction

Proof:

$$\det \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (A_1)_i \otimes (A_2)_i \right)$$

$$= \det \left( A_1, I_{n \times n}, A_2^T \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq E \\ |B|=n}} \bar{x}^B \left[ A_1 \right]_B \left[ A_2 \right]_B^T$$

This gives an  $RNC^2$  Algorithm  
for LMI

# Reduction

Proof:

$$\det \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (A_1)_i \otimes (A_2)_i \right)$$

$$= \det \left( A_1, I_{n \times n}, A_2^T \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq E \\ |B|=n}} \bar{x}^B [A_1]_B [A_2]_B^T$$

This gives an  $RNC^2$  Algorithm  
for LMI

— Apply random small weights  
to the exponent of  $x_i$

w.h.p. preserves non-zeroness

— Del. Computation is in  $NC^2$

# Reduction

Proof:

$$\det \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (A_1)_i \otimes (A_2)_i \right)$$

$$= \det \left( A_1, I_{n \times n}, A_2^T \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq E \\ |B|=n}} \bar{x}^B [A_1]_B [A_2]_B^T$$

This gives an  $RNC^2$  Algorithm  
for LMI

Here weight-assignments  
isolate the bases

# Reduction

We will give  $W$  a set of weight-assignments. with the promise one of them is Isolating

$$|W| = 2^{\log^2 m}$$

$$\forall w \in W, w = 2^{d(\log^2 m)}$$

# Reduction

We will give  $W$  a set of weight-assignments. with the promise one of them is Isolating

$$|W| = 2^{\log^2 m}$$

$$\forall w \in W, w = 2^{O(\log^2 m)}$$

Hence Quasi-NC  
Algorithm

# More Definitions :

Matroid Polytope:

for.  $S \subseteq E$ , define

$x^S \in \{0, 1\}^{|E|}$  The characteristic  
vector of  $S$

# More Definitions :

## Matroid Polytope:

for.  $S \subseteq E$ , define

$x^S \in \{0,1\}^{|E|}$  The characteristic vector of  $S$

For a  $\mathcal{L} :=$  family of subsets of  $E$

Define  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$

by the Convex hull of

$$\{x^I \mid I \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

Define the Matroid Polytope

by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$



# Matroid Polytope

## Edmonds' Characterisation

[Edmonds '70]

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^E$

$$x \in P(\mathcal{L}) \iff \begin{aligned} &x_e \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E \\ &x(S) \leq r(S) \\ &\forall S \subseteq E \end{aligned}$$

# Matroid Polytope

## Edmonds' Characterisation

[Edmonds '70]

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^E$

$$x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}) \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &x_e \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E \\ &x(S) \leq r(S) \\ &\forall S \subseteq E \end{aligned}$$

$$x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &x_e \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E \\ &x(S) \leq r(S) \\ &\forall S \subseteq E \\ &x(E) = n \end{aligned}$$

For the rest of our  
talk

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} M_1 := (E := [m], \mathcal{L}_1) \\ M_2 := (E, \mathcal{L}_2) \end{array} \right\} \text{Inputs}$$

$\mathcal{B}_i \rightarrow$  Set of bases

# Matroid Polytope

## Edmonds' Characterisation

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^E$

$$x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &x_e \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E \\ &x(S) \leq r_1(S) \\ &x(S) \leq r_2(S) \\ &\forall S \subseteq E \end{aligned}$$

$$x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} &x_e \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E \\ &x(S) \leq r_1(S) \\ &x(S) \leq r_2(S) \\ &\forall S \subseteq E \\ &x(E) = n \end{aligned}$$

# Matroid Polytope

## Edmonds' Characterisation

$$P(\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2) = P(\mathcal{I}_1) \cap P(\mathcal{I}_2)$$

$$P(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2) = P(\mathcal{B}_1) \cap P(\mathcal{B}_2)$$

Goal: Find a singleton Face in  $P(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2)$

# Matroid Polytope

## Edmonds' Characterisation

$$P(\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2) = P(\mathcal{I}_1) \cap P(\mathcal{I}_2)$$

$$P(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2) = P(\mathcal{B}_1) \cap P(\mathcal{B}_2)$$

All the corner points  $\subseteq \{0, 1\}^{|E|}$

# Matroid Polytope

Weight-assignment:

A weight-function  $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  can

be extended to polytopes

$$w: \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \rightarrow w \cdot x$$

# Matroid Polytope

Weight-assignment:

A weight-function  $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  can be extended to polytopes

$$w: \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \rightarrow w \cdot x$$

Let  $T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

containing the points  $x_i$

where  $w(x)$  is minimum



# Matroid Polytope

Weight-assignment:

A weight-function  $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  can be extended to polytopes

$$w: \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \rightarrow w \cdot x$$

Let  $T \subseteq P(B_1 \cap B_2)$

containing the points  $x_i$

where  $w(x)$  is minimum

Claim:  $T$  is a Face

# Matroid Polytope

Partition lemma (characterising faces)

If  $F$  is a face of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$

$\exists$  a partition  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $E$  s.t.

- $\forall s \in \mathcal{G}, \exists n_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$  s.t.

$$x(s) = n_s$$

- $\forall T \subseteq E$  s.t.  $x(T) = r(T) \forall x \in F$

$T$  is disjoint union of elements from  $\mathcal{G}$

- $\forall e \in E$  s.t.  $x_e = 0, \forall x \in F,$

$$\{e\} \in \mathcal{G}, n_{\{e\}} = 0$$

# Matroid Polytope

Partition lemma (characterising faces)

If  $F$  is a face of  $\mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$\exists$  a partition  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  of  $E$  s.t.

- $\forall s \in \mathcal{G}_i, \exists n_s, m_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , s.t.

$$x(s) = n_s / m_s$$

- $\forall T \subseteq E$  s.t.  $x(T) = r_i(T) \forall x \in F$

$T$  is disjoint union of elements from  $\mathcal{G}_i$

- $\forall e \in E$  s.t.  $x_e = 0, \forall x \in F,$

$$\{e\} \in \mathcal{G}_1, \& \mathcal{G}_2. \quad n_{\{e\}} = 0 = m_{\{e\}}$$

# Matroid Polytope

Let  $F$  be a face of  $\mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$C := \{e_1, \dots, e_{2r}\} \subseteq E$  is called cycle

if  $\forall i \in [r]$

$e_{2i-1}, e_{2i} \in S_i$  for some  $S_i \in \mathcal{G}_1$

$e_{2i}, e_{2i+1} \in T_i$  for some  $T_i \in \mathcal{G}_2$

# Matroid Polytope

Let  $F$  be a face of  $\mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$C := \{e_1, \dots, e_{2r}\} \subseteq E$  is called cycle

if  $\forall i \in [r]$

$e_{2i-1}, e_{2i} \in S_i$  for some  $S_i \in \mathcal{G}_1$

$e_{2i}, e_{2i+1} \in T_i$  for some  $T_i \in \mathcal{G}_2$

Obs: For  $B_1, B_2$  bases in  $\mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$B_1 \Delta B_2$  is set of disjoint cycles

# Matroid Polytope

Let  $F$  be a face of  $\mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$C := \{e_1, \dots, e_{2r}\} \subseteq E$  is called cycle

if  $\forall i \in [r]$

$e_{2i-1}, e_{2i} \in S_i$  for some  $S_i \in \mathcal{G}_1$

$e_{2i}, e_{2i+1} \in T_i$  for some  $T_i \in \mathcal{G}_2$

Obs: For  $B_1, B_2$  bases in  $\mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$B_1 \Delta B_2$  is set of disjoint cycles

Cor:  $C_F = \emptyset \Rightarrow F$  is a point

( $C_F$ : Set of cycles for  $F$ )

# Matroid Polytope

Let  $F$  be a face of  $\mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$C := \{e_1, \dots, e_{2r}\} \subseteq E$  is called cycle

if  $\forall i \in [r]$

$e_{2i-1}, e_{2i} \in S_i$  for some  $S_i \in \mathcal{G}_1$

$e_{2i}, e_{2i+1} \in T_i$  for some  $T_i \in \mathcal{G}_2$

Circulation on  $C$  : For a wt-assignment

$w$ , define

$$C_w(C) := |w(e_1) - w(e_2) + \dots - w(e_{2r})|$$

# Isolating wt-assignment

Lemma:  $F$  be a Face of the  
polytope  $P(B_1 \cap B_2)$

Suppose for some wt-assignment  $\omega$   
 $\omega(x)$  is const.  $\forall x \in F$

Then  $c_\omega(c) = 0 \quad \forall c \in C_F$



# Isolating wt-assignment

Lemma:  $F$  be a Face of the  
polytope  $P(B_1 \cap B_2)$

Suppose for some wt-assignment  $\omega$   
 $\omega(x)$  is const.  $\forall x \in F$

Then  $c_\omega(c) = 0 \quad \forall c \in C_F$

Cor. If  $\omega$  ensures non-zero  
circulations for all cycles in  $P(B_1 \cap B_2)$

$\omega$  isolates a corner point

# Isolating wt-assignment

lemma: We can construct  $O(m^2/s)$

wt-functions, each wt bounded by  $O(m^2/s)$ , to ensure non-zero circulation for  $s$  cycles

[Fredman-Komlos-Szemerédi '84]

There are Exp. many  
Cycles 😓

# Isolating wt-assignment

lemma: We can construct  $O(m^2 \delta)$

wt-functions, each wt bounded by  $O(m^2 \delta)$ , to ensure non-zero circulation for  $\delta$  cycles

Cor:  $O(m^6)$  wt-functions are needed, each bounded by  $O(m^6)$

to ensure non-zero circulations for  $\leq m^4$  cycles

(i.e., All possible 4-length cycles)

# Isolating wt-assignment

lemma:  $F \rightarrow \text{Face of } \mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

if  $C_F$  has no cycle of length  $r$  ( $\geq 2$ ),  $C_F$  will have  $\leq m^4$  cycles of length  $2^r$

# Isolating wt-assignment

lemma:  $F \rightarrow \text{Face of } \mathcal{P}(B_1 \cap B_2)$

if  $C_F$  has no cycle of length  $r$  ( $\geq 2$ ),  $C_F$  will have  $\leq m^4$  cycles of length  $2^r$

By this lemma we will

construct

$W_0, \dots, W_z$  round wt. functions

# Isolating wt-assignment

By this lemma we will

construct

$W_0, \dots, W_t$  round wt. functions

Now  $N \omega_0 + \omega_t$  ( $\omega_0 \in W_0, \omega_t \in W_t$ )

will give  $W_{t+1}$

(  $N$  is some number  $\geq \max_{w \in W_0} w$  )  
 $\therefore N = m^7$  enough )

# Isolating wt-assignment

By this lemma we will

construct

$W_0, \dots, W_t$  round wt. functions

Now  $N \omega_0 + \omega_t$  ( $\omega_0 \in W_0, \omega_t \in W_t$ )

will give  $W_{t+1}$

$$|W_t| = |W_0|^t = m^{6t}$$

# Isolating wt-assignment

By this lemma we will

construct

$W_0, \dots, W_z$  round wt. functions

We will stop at  $z = \lceil \log m \rceil$

as cycle-length can be at most  $m$



# Isolating wt-assignment

By this lemma we will

construct

$W_0, \dots, W_z$  round wt. functions

We will stop at  $z = \lceil \log m \rceil$

as cycle-length can be at most  $m$

$W_{\lceil \log m \rceil}$  is our output

# Isolating wt-assignment

$W_{\lceil \log m \rceil}$  is our output

Each  $w \in W_{\lceil \log m \rceil}$  is of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil \log m \rceil} N^i w_i \quad (w_i \in W_0)$$

$$\therefore w \leq N^{\log m} m^6 \leq (m^7)^{\log m}$$

$$\text{and } |W_{\lceil \log m \rceil}| = O(m^{6 \log m})$$



THANK  
YOU

